

# Putting Climate-Risk Evidence in Communities' Hands

## Examples from CLARE

CRPP Partnership Forum | 24–25 February 2026 | Bangkok

**Arjan de Haan**  
**CLimate Adaptation and RESilience**  
**International Development Research Centre**



## From Climate Data and Evidence to Climate Agency

- ✓ Climate information often too technical or centralized
  - ✓ Co-produced evidence becomes trusted, actionable, legitimate
    - ✓ Livelihoods = tangible adaptation (complex, changing, mobile)
  - ✓ Enables communities to influence planning & national systems
- > Communities become agents of adaptation, not beneficiaries



**Evidence → Agency → Collective Action → Scalable Investments & Livelihoods**



## How Community Evidence Shapes Decisions CLARE Examples

- ✓ SUCCESS/CLAPs: Migration evidence for planning (South Asia)
- ✓ CLARE-ASEAN: Evidence for heat & NBS in cities
- ✓ ClimateREEFS: Fishers guide zoning/restoration (Indonesia, Philippines)
- ✓ RURBANISE: Informal communities inform planning (Philippines)
- ✓ **SURF-IT: Community-validated flood forecasts (Bangladesh)**
- ✓ **CLARITY/WELL Labs: Water data shaping governance (India, Africa)**



## Reflections for CARA: Co-production builds the essential connective tissue between communities and national systems

- ✓ Governments **eager for grounded evidence**, local officials welcome data that is socially legitimate and technically robust.
- ✓ **Intermediaries** are key : community-based organizations, researchers, and local NGOs translate climate information into decisions.
- ✓ Avoid one-off tools: invest in **services and long-term facilitation**, learning, and iterative collaboration
- ✓ Co-produced evidence = **core infrastructure**: strengthens accountability, reduces risk, ensures investments match local needs

