

e-Government Procurement Conference 2024

11-13 December 2024

Session Feedback

- COUNTRY OBJECTIVES
 - Fiji process efficiency: time by Ministry, staff, category >>> GOOGLE LOOK UP STUDIO
 - Cooks strategic decisions;
 - Malaysia –level of competition>>BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE +25,000
 SUPPLIERS/YEAR; cost reduction>>DEMAND AGGREGATION,
 BENCHMARKING, CATALOUGE; improve supplier quality and performance
 management >>PERFORMANCE RATING, DEBARMENT (POLICY-LED);
 performance >> DELIVERY ON TIME IN FULL, QUALITY (REJECTS)
 - O BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP; PRIVATE DATABASE- FINDING PATTERN, 23 YEARS OF DATA; CONFLICT OF INTEREST



- COUNTRY OBJECTIVES
 - Bangladesh reduce corruption >>SUSPICIOUS PATTERNS, AUDIT, SPEND ANALYSIS, LOOK UP TABLE; value of money >>
 - ISDB competition >>; collusion-red flag (monitoring and evaluation) >>; vendor management performance >>



Session 7 – Sharing Good Practice – Group 4

Using Procurement Data for Benchmarking and Value for Money Analyses

- Actions or Next Steps
 - Process + Efficiency
 - Time savings- capture data for commercial banks tender securities verification
 - Using RPA
 - raising (automate) vendor registration
 - Bank statement evaluation
 - Financial ratio calculation due diligence
 - Manual process improvement then automate
 - Al Analysis
 - Template reports for insights on data
 - Training need analysis



Session 7 – Sharing Good Practice – Group 4

Using Procurement Data for Benchmarking and Value for Money Analyses

- Actions or Next Steps
 - Market + Competition
 - Understand the market + competition
 - Better competition
 - Better prices
 - Document download vs. Actual Bids
 - Target 30%
 - Biased specifications
 - Unusually low bidders
 - Special group statistics
 - · Regional, women, person with disabilities, indigenous people, veterans
 - Qualification of Bidders
 - Too high
 - Too many disqualified
 - Regional award of contracts
 - Location of suppliers
 - International vs. National



Session 7 – Sharing Good Practice – Group 4

Using Procurement Data for Benchmarking and Value for Money Analyses

- Actions or Next Steps
 - Value for Money
 - Automatic demand analysis and aggregation
 - Framework agreement/ Central Contracts Who is using or not?
 - Catalogues Search and comparison
 - Evaluation criteria
 - Sustainable criteria
 - Cost estimates, data collection and modelling
 - Local content contract level data
 - Skills, training, materials, subcontract
 - Carbon or "Green goods"
 - Quality; rejects
 - Amendments tracking



- Actions or Next Steps
 Value for Money (continuation)
 - Price analysis for same goods
 - Quality; rejects
 - Amendments tracking
 - Late payment
 - Quality vs. Performance

- Actions or Next Steps
 - Red flags and Monitoring
 - Build Red flag process + system
 - Open contracting
 - Red flags
 - KPI performance
 - Single bidding(responsive)
 - Lead/process time
 - Approval times

