This is not an ADB material. The views expressed in this document are the views of the author/s and/or their organizations and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank, or its Board of Governors, or the governments they represent. ADB does not guarantee the accuracy and/or completeness of the material's contents, and accepts no responsibility for any direct or indirect consequence of their use or reliance, whether wholly or partially. Please feel free to contact the authors directly should you have queries.

QUANTIFYING WATER SECURITY AT REGIONAL AND GLOBAL SCALES

<u>Charlotte MacAlister</u>, Grace Oluwasanya, Duminda Perera, Manzoor Qadir and Vladimir Smakhtin

United Nations University Institute for Water, Environment and Health (UNU-INWEH) Hamilton, ON, Canada

Toward a Resilient and Water Secure Asia and the Pacific Asia Water Forum 2022, virtual event, 8-11 August 2022.



Institute for Water, Environment and Health

PRELIMINARY WATER SECURITY ASSESSMENT FOR AFRICA - 2022

First attempt to assess Water Security in 54 African countries.

Metric principles:

- Limited to 10 components of water security, scored 1-10, having equal weighting in final National WS Index (out of 100)
- Each component ideally represented by 1 indicator, avoiding sub-indicators as most of the indicator data are already aggregated e.g. TRWR (exception 3 & 10)
- Wherever possible and logical, metrics align with SDG6 indicators and many are also indicators within AWDO Key Dimensions



Water Security in Africa: A Preliminary Assessment Grace Oluwasanya, Duminda Perera, Manzoor Qadir and Vladimir Smakhtin





PRELIMINARY WATER SECURITY ASSESSMENT FOR AFRICA - metrics

Ten components	Indicators	Source
1. Drinking Water	% pop. with 'at least basic' drinking-water service	JMP – 2015 & 2020
2. Sanitation	% pop. with 'at least basic' sanitation	JMP – 2015 & 2020
3. Health & Hygiene	# diarreal deaths/100,000 & % pop. 'basic handwashing' - equal weighting	IHME – 2015 & 2017 JMP – 2015 & 2020
4. Water Availability	Total Renewable Water Resources – Environmental Flow Requirement / annual pop.	FAO AQUASTAT - 2012/2017 (same) WB pop stats – 2012 & 2017
5. Water Use Efficiency	\$ value added to water resources as utilized by different economic sectors	FAO AQUASTAT - 2012 & 2018
6. Water Infrastructure	Water storage (m ³) per capita	ICOLD WB pop stats - 2015 & 2020
7. Water Quality	% of wastewater treated	Jones et al (2021) combined multiple sources – 2015 only
8. Water Governance	Degree of IWRM Implementation (%)	UN-Water/UNEP – 2017 & 2020
9. Water Disaster Risk	Disaster Risk Index	Bündnis Entwicklung Hilft: World Risk Report – 2015 & 2020
10. Physiography	% Total Renewable Water Resources originating outside the country & Interannual Variability	FAO AQUASTAT - 'constant' FAO/WRI - average 1950-2010



UNU-INWEH Institute for Water, Environment and Health

PRELIMINARY WATER SECURITY ASSESSMENT FOR AFRICA

Key messages

- Threshold approach to National Water Security Index: emerging
 > slight > modest > effective > model
- 1/3 of countries in Africa remain at the lowest measured level -'emerging'
- Only 13 countries achieved 'modest'
- None of the 54 countries reached 'model' or even 'effective' level of water security
- 29 countries made some progress in last 5 years 25 countries made no progress
- Data coverage is extremely poor & data limitation could be added as a water security indicator





GLOBAL WATER SECURITY ASSESSMENT - ONGOING

- Maintain 'simple', universal approach, applying the same methodology to all regions allowing direct comparison
- Keep 10 basic components, while improving the metrics e.g. Dependency ratio does not make sense for SIDs
- Revise indicators as new data become available e.g. new WHO Burden of Disease stats; specific risk data, potentially from re-insurance industry; update of global dam data for the storage component
- Addressing the many data challenges e.g. water treatment and water quality; many indicators in Africa and LAC are 'no data'; how to move from 'at least basic' 'to 'safely managed' on JMP WASH service ladder
- Should 'data availability' be an component or a sub-indicator at a country level?
- What groupings should be considered in addition to specific geographies e.g. SIDS; should large countries (area) be directly compared to small countries?
- Working to incorporate country and policy-actor feedback



Thanks!

