

The Need for Good Governance in Climate Finance

High-Level Event and Panel Discussion

Manila, Philippines

25 May 2015

This is not an ADB material. The views expressed in this document are the views of the author/s and/or their organizations and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank, or its Board of Governors, or the governments they represent. ADB does not guarantee the accuracy and/or completeness of the material's contents, and accepts no responsibility for any direct or indirect consequence of their use or reliance, whether wholly or partially. Please feel free to contact the authors directly should you have queries.

Where we come from



Hilde Johnson
Norway



Heidemarie Wiczorek-Zeul
Germany



Clare Short
UK



Eveline Herfkens
Netherlands

- Founded in 2002 as a web-based resource center
- Assist donor practitioners to more effectively address corruption challenges in their development work
- Based at CMI, private social science research institute in Bergen, Norway
- Donor partners:

NORAD

DFID

SIDA

DANIDA

Swiss Aid

AusAID (DFAT)

GIZ

Belgium (BTC)

Finland

A world in which *development* efforts of aid donors and developing countries are more *effective* because the *negative impacts* of *corruption* are reduced



Corruption's negative consequences

➤ Political impacts

- Trust in the political and institutional system
- Social divides (ethnicity, race, class, regions, etc.) – can lead to conflict

➤ Economic impacts

- On foreign investment, markets, and economic growth & development
- Provision of basic services – quality and quantity – poverty eradication
 - Inequalities: women and children hardest hit

➤ Natural resources and the environment

- Higher depletion & pollution levels, trafficking of endangered species

“...to be a leading provider of high-quality research, information, and learning opportunities to help development practitioners more effectively support anti-corruption efforts...”

How U4 Achieves Its Mission

- Web-based resource centre of research and training
- Conduct basic and applied social science research
 - Evidence-based & independent, critical analysis of corruption risks and mitigation strategies in a number of issue areas
 - Designed to help practitioners make decisions about practical and policy issues facing them
- Training
 - Online training courses in areas of specialization
 - In-country workshops to improve dialogue, coordinate efforts, and build capacity for donors and their partners
- Helpdesk to provide quick expert answers to questions faced by development practitioners in the field

2015 Thematic Areas of Focus

- Corruption and Aid
- Corruption in Natural Resource Management
- Corruption in the Justice Sector
- International Drivers of Corruption (IFFs)
- Evaluation and Measurement
- Fragile States
- People's Engagement
- Anti-Corruption Approaches in Sector Work (education, health, water)

www.u4.no

- What do we mean by “governance”?
- Institutions and actors coordinating to create collectively binding rules that provide public goods
 - Structure: the rules of the game for decision-making
 - Process: how actors engage in rulemaking and implementation
 - Actors need authority and legitimacy to make and enforce
- States have systems of political and social institutions to generate, implement, and enforce rules
 - National level
- Non-state entities also coordinate to do the same – firms, traditional authorities, armed groups, int’l orgs
 - Sub-national and international levels – binding or voluntary

- What do we mean by “good governance”?
- Characterized by the UNDP (1997) as government that is responsive to the needs, and respectful of the rights, of all its citizens – product of good institutions (rules)
 - Participatory, transparent, accountable, effective, equitable
 - Promotes the rule of law (not arbitrary in application of rules)
 - Ensures that political, social, and economic priorities are based on broad consensus of society
 - Voices of poor and vulnerable are heard in decision-making regarding allocation of resources
- Bad governance = bad institutions or rules
 - Corruption, lack of human rights, personalized rule, unelected governments (WB)

- Need for rule-bound systems to coordinate climate finance flows in a transparent & accountable manner
 - International to national levels
 - National to sub-national levels
 - Funding mechanisms are institutions that determine what funding should flow where/who, how, when, and why
- These mechanisms need to be democratic & accountable, participatory, inclusive, transparent, equitable and aimed at enhancing the collective welfare of citizens of developing countries
 - Corruption is a direct challenge to good governance in climate finance flows and mitigating effects

- Corruption threatens:
 - Effectiveness of funds – does climate finance meet goals; spent for intended purposes
 - Efficiency in use of funds – best use of funds, value for money
 - Mobilization of new funds
 - Accountability of government – use of scarce public resources
- Corruption raises the costs of climate change, and exacerbates its effects
 - Distorts wise use of natural resources, fails to help the vulnerable, leads to poor quality and inappropriate projects

- Large amounts of money & pressure to disburse quickly
- Wide variety of funding sources & levels – overlap
- Complex financial architecture: many actors & institutions, many financial instruments
 - New and untested funding channels and instruments with divergent governance standards (policies, rules, procedures)
 - Funding mechanisms' design may not be transparent or participatory
- Lack of agreement on measurement and definitions of climate finance – what counts; duplication
- Poor climate change-affected countries are likely to have weak domestic institutions of accountability and to be corrupt



Anti-
Corruption
Resource
Centre

www.U4.no

CM I

**CHR.
MICHELSEN
INSTITUTE**