Uzbekistan Solid Waste Management Improvement Project

The views expressed in this presentation are the views of the author/s and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank, or its Board of Governors, or the governments they represent. ADB does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this presentation and accepts no responsibility for any consequence of their use. The countries listed in this presentation do not imply any view on ADB's part as to sovereignty or independent status or necessarily conform to ADB's terminology.

Key Development Drivers

Strengths

- 1. Growing, highly efficient, clean, modern international city
- 2. Well organized and disciplined municipal structure
- 3. Strong technical knowledge
- 4. Resilient, durable SWM operations
- 5. Functional tariff collection

6. Foundations for a world class SWM system are in place: Tashkent system should be the catalyst for national SWM sector development and full SWM sector strategy

Challenges

- 1. Collection/transfer system highly inefficient because it is outdated
- 2. Waste recycling potential underdeveloped but informally functioning
- 3. Significant disposal defects



Regions/Oblasts Key Development Drivers

Strengths

- 1. Cities are relatively clean
- 2. Rudimentary 'truck and dump' operations in place
- Basic tariffs are in place and tariff collection rate typical in comparison
 Selective recycling informally practiced

Challenges

- 1. Serious collection deficiencies the smaller the city, the bigger the challenge
- 2. Inadequate waste disposal with dangerous consequences for public health and environment
- 3. Completely under resourced organizational and logistical structure, cost recovery and financial sustainability;
- Relatively low volume of waste unless agglomerated on a provincial level



© Copyright 2007 by World Trade Press. All Rights Reserved.





© Copyright 2007 by World Trade Press. All Rights Reserved.



Uzbekistan main Railway network

Selected Area Population served	Capital Expenditure Needs Assessment	Capital Expenditure Initial estimate
Tashkent City – only: 2.2 million people	Waste collection point improvement; collection fleet; transfer stations upgrade; waste container; special rail wagons; locomotive engines; waste management center with sanitary landfill as main facility; administrative support infrastructure	USD 130 million
Tashkent –Samarkand Corridor: 4.7 million additional people	Waste collection point improvement; collection fleet; transfer stations; waste container; special rail wagons; administrative support infrastructure	(additional) USD 250 million
Ferghana Valley: 7.0 million people	Waste collection point improvement; collection fleet; transfer stations; waste container; waste management center with sanitary landfill as main facility; administrative support infrastructure	USD 400 million
Bukhara – Qarzih – Fermiz Area: 5.9 million people	Waste collection points; collection fleet; transfer stations; waste container; special rail wagons; locomotive engines; waste management center with sanitary landfill as main facility; administrative support infrastructure	USD 320 million
Nukus – Urgench Region: 2 million people	Waste collection point; collection fleet; transfer stations; waste container; special rail wagons; locomotive engines; waste management center with sanitary landfill as main facility; administrative support infrastructure	USD 100 million
	TOTAL	USD 1.200 billion

PPTA Activities

Technical

- Community collection point survey
- International fact finding mission (Germany)
- Waste characterization assessment
- Recycling sector analysis
- Waste collection and transfer system
 analysis
- Disposal facility siting assessment
- Concept designs and cost estimates
- Cost benefit analysis (disposal options)

Economic/Financial

- Financial management assessment
- Socioeconomic survey
- Financial analysis
- Economic analysis

Institutional

- National and provincial agency reviews
- Tashkent City institutional assessment
- Maxsustrans operations review

Environmental

- •Regulatory framework review
- •Rapid environmental assessment
- •Landfill siting assessment •IEE

Social and Resettlement

- •Poverty, social and gender assessments
- Resettlement Policy Framework reviewLARP
- Detailed assessment of preferred siteSpecific gender measures

Procurement

Initial procurement assessmentProcurement package preparation

Capital Expenditure of Options (US\$'000) Cost Benefit Analysis

	Akhangaran	Remote,
Capital Expenditures	Sanitary Landfill	Inter-Regional
		Lanofiii
Transfer station rehabilitation	1,750	1,750
Landfill machinery	2,990	2,990
Subtotal (same for both options)	4,740	4,740
Landfill facility	25,966	24,082
Railway sidings Tashkent	-	4,450
Railway spur-line & siding Hovos	-	11,650
Transfer vehicles & containers	7,600	4,200
Gantry crane Hovos	-	1,500
Railway wagons	-	6,300
Subtotal (different across options)	33,566	52,182
Total	38,306	56,922
		ADB

Operating Costs of Options (US\$/ton) Cost Benefit Analysis

Operating Costs	Akhangaran Sanitary Landfill	Remote, Inter-Regional Landfill
Fixed OPEX1	0.97	1.42
Variable OPEX2	6.02	12.17
Total OPEX	6.99	13.59
Transport Costs		
Mode	Truck and trailer	Rail
Distance km (round trip)	80	350
Transport cost (US\$ per ton)	4.47	9.54

Notes:

1. Average fixed OPEX made up of O&M costs for civil works and staff over 25 years.

2. Average variable OPEX includes landfill machinery and transport costs.

3. Rail transport cost based on Uzbekistan Railways indicative rate.

Comparison of Options Cost Benefit Analysis

Criteria	Akhangaran Sanitary Landfill	Remote, Inter-Regional Landfill
Financial		
Capital cost (Initial) Waste transfer system and landfil	US\$ 38.31 million	US\$ 56.92 million
Operating cost (Initial) Waste transfer system only	US\$ 4.47 per ton	US\$ 9.54 per ton
Legal		
Land acquisition	Irrigated land	Undeveloped land
Technical and planning restriction	s Site already restricted	Restrictions unlikely
Strategic Potential		
Potential population served	Possibly 3 million	Possibly 7 million
Facility life	50 years	Possibly exceed 100 years



Comparison of Options Cost Benefit Analysis

Criteria	Akhangaran Sanitary Landfill	Remote, Inter-Regional Landfill
Environmental		
Waste Transfer:		
Vehicle emissions	-	Lowest
Road safety	-	Safest
Road deterioration and congestion	-	Lowest
Waste Disposal:		
Conversion of irrigated land	Confirmed	Unlikely
Operational beyond 2060	Unlikely	High potential
Social		
Involuntary resettlement	Confirmed	Unlikely
Disposal site social impacts	Low	Negligible
Job creation potential	High	High

ADB

Structuring modalities

Immediate stand alone project

System 1 (Phase A):

- Tashkent City upgrade of equipment and logistics
- Implementation of intl. standard sanitary landfill

MFF (now or future)

- System 1 (Phase B) : Tashkent – Samarkand corridor
- System 2: Fergana Valley
- System 3: Bukhara Quarzih – Termiz
- System 4: Nukus -Urgench

Tashkent's Municipal Waste Problem

While recognizing SWM needs nationwide, government prioritized rehabilitation of Tashkent's SWM system

SWM demands in Tashkent are growing rapidly,

- city's population of 2.3 million currently generates over 0.5 M tons annually,
- expected to increase to over 0.7 M tons annually by 2030,
- cumulative generation from 2013 to 2030 reaching more than 10 million tons.

The current system has served the city since 2006 and needs immediate and complete rehabilitation to avert potentially serious service disruptions.



Collection Points



Collection System

Tashkent SWM System



 \sum



Disposal



Transfer System

Transfer Stations



UZB Solid Waste Management Improvement Project

Project:	The project will develop a sanitary landfill that meets international standards, rehabilitate transfer stations, and modernize the waste
	collection and transfer fleet. It will build capacity in waste
	management and help formulate a national strategy on solid waste management.
EA:	Tashkent Municipality (core project) State Committee for Nature Protection (natl. strategy)
IA:	Maxsustrans
Capital Cost:	US\$ 76 million
Proposed Financing:	Asian Development Bank: US\$ 69 million Government of Uzbekistan: US\$ 7 million
Implementation	January 2014 to December 2018
Period:	(For Board Approval on 21 October 2013)
Procurement:	International competitive bidding: 5 contracts
	National competitive bidding: 13 contracts
	Consultant procurement: 6 contracts

UZB SWM Improvement Project (For Board Approval on 21 October 2013)

Technical Components:	 Rehabilitation and construction of 700 waste collection point facilities Provision of 13,500 waste collection bins Provision of 177 additional waste collection vehicles (155 WC vehicles replaced in 2013 by Municipality) Rehabilitation of two transfer stations (possible closure of a third transfer station) Provision of a new waste transfer fleet, 17 vehicles Development of a 30-hectare intl. standard sanitary landfill Closure of existing dumpsite Project design and supervision
Capacity Development Component:	 Program coordination and monitoring Transport and logistics study Waste minimization and recycling strategy Media and public awareness program SWM systems technical operations support National SWM strategy



proposed extension 150 ha

Akhangharan Dumpsite 60 ha

approved extension 30 ha

© 2012 Google Image © 2012 GeoEye Image © 2012 DigitalGlobe

42 T 540728 22 m E 4550012.36 m N elev 489 m

Google earth

N





new landfill area construction phase 1

			_		
-		_	_	-	
_		_	_		
-			-	-	
	ASIAN DEVELOPM	ENT BANK			
	TA 8004 UZB SOLI	D WASTE		AT	DR.
MAD	AGEMENT INVEST	MENT PRO	UECT	· •	~
-	POSSIBLE LANDEL	FOR TAS	HKEN	ίT	-
AN	ID TASHKENT OBLA	ST IN AKH	ANGA	RAN	



Asian Development Bank

ADB

UZB SWM Improvement Project

Category				ADB Financing
		Total Amoun ADB OCR I	t Allocated for Financing (\$)	Percentage and Basis for
No	Item	Category	Subcategory	Withdrawal from the Loan Account
1	Civil Works	31,477,000		
E	Landfill construction		17,831,000	100% of total expenditure claimed*
k	Dumpsite closure		5,700,000	100% of total expenditure claimed*
C	Transfer station, garages & collection points		5,400,000	100% of total expenditure claimed*
C	Design & Supervision		2,546,000	100% of total expenditure claimed*
2	Plant & Equipment	23,455,500		
e	Landfill Equipment & Machinery		1,858,500	100% of total expenditure claimed*
t	Waste Collection & Transfer Trucks		13,642,000	100% of total expenditure claimed*
(Bins & Other Equipment		7,955,000	100% of total expenditure claimed*
3	PMU, Capacity Development & Studies	4,407,200		
e	Project Management Personnel & Support		2,236,700	100% of total expenditure claimed*
k	Consultants, Capacity Support and Audit		2,170,500	100% of total expenditure claimed*
4	Interest and Commitment Charges	1,501,000		100% of amounts due
5	Unallocated	8,159,300		
	Total	69,000,000		

*Exclusive of taxes and duties in the territory of the borrower.

SAFEGUARDS SUMMARY

Environment	Sanitary landfill Classified as Category B project	
	IEE; environmental impacts not significant Project results in improved environmental conditions	
Resettlement	1 affected household (AH), cultivated fields 9 workers (seasonal workers) Classified as Category B project Community irrigation association (basic infrastructure) 80-100 informal (illegal) waste pickers	
IP/Social :	Indigenous people not identified Classified as Category C project Social impacts on surrounding communities expected to be negligible due to expected improvement of existing facilities	8
Gender:	Important role of women at the household in source recycli Women operating waste collection points Female waste pickers	ng



SWM System Financial Sustainability

Maxsustrans has relatively good O&M capacity and has been the operator of the SWM system since inception 2000.

Area of concern has been financial sustainability.

Historically, tariffs have been low and revenues marginal, resulting in inability to set-aside funding for asset replacement.



SWM System Financial Sustainability

Implementation of Key Features to address financial sustainability

- Government last year raised tariffs significantly; current tariffs and collections rates are sufficient to ensure sustainability
- Government's success in raising tariffs in the last three years demonstrates that tariff-setting mechanisms are in place and working
- The investment program provides for reserves to fund future systems investment needs – both asset replacement and expansion of landfill
- Capacity development component provides four key individual consultants to assist EA and Maxsustrans with financial and sustainability issues
- Also, technical support and O&M assistance for Maxsustrans

SWM System Financial Sustainability

Implementation of Key Features to address financial sustainability

Project covenants:

- Operating ratio expenditure over revenue (O&M, debt servicing, taxes, and reasonable return on equity)
- Borrower will provide temporary funding if ratios not met
- Tariffs reviewed at least annually, and adjusted accordingly
- Maxsustrans to prepare an annual business plan, for approval by EA

Other CWUW SWM Projects

The following are the ongoing and planned projects:

Armenia:

- PPTA ongoing; interim report prepared
- Consultations with Government on proposed options regional vs centralized
- Project processing of \$20M-30M in 2014

Azerbajian:

- PPTA processing initiated
- Approval of PPTA in 2014
- Project processing in 2015

Georgia:

- PPTA processing in 2015
- Project processing in 2016