

# Key Messages/Take-aways from the Webinar “Green Road to Montreal”: Roundtable of Champions

---

*The views expressed in this presentation are the views of the author/s and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank, or its Board of Governors, or the governments they represent. ADB does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this presentation and accepts no responsibility for any consequence of their use. The countries listed in this presentation do not imply any view on ADB's part as to sovereignty or independent status or necessarily conform to ADB's terminology.*

- ❖ There has to be a *'trade-off'* while assessing the Net Present Value (NPV) and the Socio-environmental damage score during planning of wildlife-friendly infrastructure measures, as shown in the Nepal case study.
- ❖ A range of environmental safeguards such as (a) Providing Carbon Sinks through Green-belts (b) Rain-water Harvesting (c) Wildlife-crossing Structures (d) Utilization of Fly-ash as highway construction material can help to achieve the mission of Green Infrastructure Development, as shown in the Indian case study.
- ❖ Upstream Planning and Regional Planning are critical for ensuring Sustainable Infrastructure Development, as shown in the Fiji case study.

**Finally,**

- ❖ Mainstreaming infrastructure development is critically needed for conservation of “**Natural Capital**”.
- ❖ “**Science-based**” and “**Evidence-based**” planning leads to positive outcomes. Regular dialogues between wildlife biologists and transportation planners is important along with rigorous monitoring.
- ❖ Embedding “**Wildlife Mitigation**” costs in project cost should be the goal.
- ❖ Efficient **D**esign, **D**evelopment and **D**elivery (**3Ds**) combined with (**3Cs**) **C**ompetence, **C**onvergence and **C**oordination) are a “**Combo**” recipe for **Green Infrastructure Development** along with reforms in both policies and practices..

---

*thank you...*

---

