

Strategies to Keep Sanitation Services Operating The role of sanitation workers & the sustainability of sewered sanitation

Andrés Hueso González, PhD

WaterAid

andreshueso@wateraid.org

<u> @andreshuesoWA</u>

This is not an ADB material. The views expressed in this document are the views of the author/s and/or their organizations and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank or its Board of Governors, or the governments they represent. ADB does not guarantee the accuracy and/or completeness of the material's content, and accepts no responsibility for any direct or indirect consequence of their use or reliance, whether wholly or partially. Please feel free to contact the authors directly should you have queries.





Health, safety and dignity of sanitation workers

Health, Safety and Dignity of Sanitation Workers An Initial Assessment

World BANK GROUP (World Health) (World Seatth) (WaterAid

https://washmatters.wateraid.org/publications/h ealth-safety-and-dignity-of-sanitation-workers

http://sanitationwork.wateraid.org/











ACCELERATING INCLUSIVE SANITATION

Sanitation Workers

Interface/Containment

Emptying


Conveyance

Treatment

End-use/ Disposal



What is Citywide Inclusive Sanitation








ACCELERATING INCLUSIVE SANITATION

Main Findings: Challenges and risks

Social	Financial	Legal and Institutional	Health and Safety
 Stigma, persecution and discrimination 	Poor payJob insecurity	 Lack of laws and regulations 	 Exposure to faecal pathogens, toxic gases and chemicals, work in confined spaces →physical injury, illnesses, asphyxiation, and death
 Low-profile: not to be known in their society as manual pit emptiers 	 No employee benefits or health insurance 	 Laws in place not implemented Informality 	
		 No unions or associations 	

Good practice

- Acknowledgement and formalization
- Addressing occupational and health risks (eg PPE, health services)
- Regulation and enforcement
- Associations and unions
- Alternative business opportunities



Action areas

- Policy, legislative and regulatory reform
- Development and adoption of operational guidelines
- Advocacy and empowerment of sanitation workers
- Building the evidence base





Initiative for Sanitation Workers: global advocacy project

- Objectives:
 - **Political prioritisation**: Support inclusion of sanitation workers' rights in government and civil society political agendas at the national and local levels
 - Mainstreaming in WASH and labour sectors: Influence both sectors to ensure sanitation workers' rights are mainstreamed in implementation and monitoring
 - Address knowledge gaps: Support research initiatives and products to supporting political prioritization and implementation for sanitation workers

THE WORLD BANK

World Health

nternational


Labour Organization

IC	Activity
0	Core group and advisory committee
1	ILO South Asia meeting on sanitation workers
4 2	National and global commitments
3	Networking support
4	World Bank Investments
5	Dissemination at events
6	Multimedia exhibition
7	Mobilizing labor movement
8	Developing research agenda
9	Incentivizing research
1	Guideline models
1	Technological innovation
12	2 Data gathering checklists
1:	Quantification and health evidence
14	Online platform

WaterAid

Sustainability of large sanitation investments

A desk review






ODA to large sanitation systems



of WASH funds go to large sanitation systems





USD 506 million

USD 1,104 million

ODA to large sanitation systems

World Bank Group 23%

France 19%

Japan 17%

Large sanitation systems 100%

EU Institutions 11%

Asian Development Bank 7%

United States 6%

United Arab Emirates 4%

African Development Bank 3%

Germany 3%

Switzerland 2%

Korea 1%

Other 4%

Low income countries **13%**

Lower-middle income countries 58%

Upper-middle income countries 29%

Evidence of poor functionality and sustainability

- Little detailed evidence published for low- and middle-income countries.
- The review revealed many examples of WWTPs (proxy) built but never commissioned, taken off-line, and continually overloaded or underloaded.
- Unclear how prevalent the problem is. Multi-plant studies (mixed quality and old!):
 - In Mexico, 95% (of 194) WWTPs studied were not working.
 - In Ghana, 80% (of 44) WWTPs were not working.
 - In India, 54% (of 84) WWTPs were operating poorly or very poorly.
 - In **Vietnam**, around 33% (of 17) WWTPs were substantially underloaded.
 - In Brazil, most plants met effluent standards.

The causes

- Upstream issues, resulting in too much or too little wastewater
- Inadequate operations and maintenance (O&M) eg switch off due to high electricity bill
- Technology choice and design increasing O&M difficulties
- Institutional constraints: political priority; recurring finance; capacity and systems for O&M
- Donors focused on new construction or rehabilitation
- 'Professional' preference for expensive and inadequate technologies
- > Political incentives and vested interests favour big infrastructure projects, but not adequate O&M
- Limited citizen scrutiny (those with sewers mostly unaffected)

Response needed

- Make good practice the norm
- Shift paradigm: from infrastructure to service
- Institutional reform, strengthening the capacity of local institutions
- CWIS approach move beyond "just off-site", look whole-chain