



Health Policy and UHC for COVID-19: Lessons for LMICs

ADB
20 April, 2020

Soonman KWON, Ph.D.

Professor
School of Public Health, Seoul National University
President, Korean Health Economic Association

This is not an ADB material. The views expressed in this document are the views of the author/s and/or their organizations and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank, or its Board of Governors, or the governments they represent. ADB does not guarantee the accuracy and/or completeness of the material's contents, and accepts no responsibility for any direct or indirect consequence of their use or reliance, whether wholly or partially. Please feel free to contact the authors directly should you have queries.

1. UHC (Universal Health Coverage)

Low financial barrier to access, organization of health care delivery through purchasing

Cost of treatment

- NHI with universal coverage of population
- Copayment is free (paid by government budget in case of communicable diseases)

Cost of testing

- Ex-ante free for those who traveled abroad, exposed to contacts, or with doctor's prescription
- Ex-post free if tested positive

UHC and Purchasing (from Providers)

Unique ID

Mobilizing private providers is important for

- Testing in a large scale
- Treatment of patients: surge of patients

NHI law mandates all providers (public and private) to join NHI in Korea

- At the beginning of NHI, government worried that private providers would not join due to low fee
- Mandate on private providers has been controversial



Health Service Delivery

Allocation of patients based on severity to avoid the over-burdening of health system

- Severe patients: Inpatient care
- Milder patients: Support/accommodation centers monitored by a smaller number of health personnel

Number of beds per capita: second highest after Japan among OECD countries

- Paradox of (too) many beds but flexibility to respond to the surge of patients?



2. UHC and Financing in LMIC

Public Financial Management (PFM)

- Need emergency budgets to provide medicines and equipment to public providers
- Need emergency budget support to health insurance

Q: How to mobilize private providers

- Contractual measure is not feasible or not enough in an emergency situation
- May need a legal framework for government to mobilize private providers in a pandemic situation

3. Governance

Transparency in communication

- Trust in the government

Social capital and trust: personal hygiene, no rush to shopping for stock piling, compliance with social distancing

Landslide victory of the ruling (progressive) party in the recent general election (April 15):

smallest number of seats for conservative party in National Assembly since 1992

- > Political economy of disease control:
inter-relation between health and politics



4. Challenges and Lessons for LMICs

Vulnerable population

- Mass infection in geriatric hospitals, long-term care facilities
- Poor, daily workers: cannot work from home, job loss
- No school or internet-based classes have differential impacts on students with different socioeconomic status

4. Challenges (continued)

Mass testing: cost-effective? Over-burden on hospitals?

- Capacity of LMICs

Privacy issues in the tracing of contacts

- Widely support by the public in Korea (especially after the experience of MERSE)
- Should be based on social acceptability and consensus
- Should avoid the potential abuse (in case of authoritarian regime)

Role of public hospitals: little incentive for private providers to invest in the special ward with low profit

4. Challenges (continued)

Decision making process

- Overly medical-driven
- Need to pay more attention to socioeconomic benefits and costs of various intervention measures

New paradigm

- Evidence: nature of the epidemic, benefit/cost/risk of various intervention measures
- Value judgment to determine the RISK that a society can or is willing to accept