

Nonperforming Loans in Asia: Determinants & Macrofinancial Linkages

Junkyu Lee

Chief of Finance Sector Group SDCC Asian Development Bank

Peter Rosenkranz

Economist ERCD Asian Development Bank

ADB-ECB Workshop on NPL Resolution in Asia and Europe 10-11 February 2020 | ADB Headquarters

The views expressed in this presentation are the views of the author/s and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank, or its Board of Governors, or the governments they represent. ADB does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this presentation and accepts no responsibility for any consequence of their use. The countries listed in this presentation do not imply any view on ADB's part as to sovereignty or independent status or necessarily conform to ADB's terminology.

Background

- More than twenty years after the Asian financial crisis (AFC), Asia stands strong, with more resilient financial systems and a solid economic outlook.
- While NPLs have come down substantially since the AFC and remain moderate, especially in the crisis-afflicted countries, a recent rise of NPLs in some Asian economies calls for close monitoring.
- Meanwhile, financial markets have become more interconnected, which also entail some potential risks—such as increased risk of financial contagion and financial market volatilities.
- Amid a currently cloudy global economic outlook, the region's policy makers need to remain vigilant and take mitigating actions to safeguard financial stability. Effective workout and resolution of NPLs are central to avoid loss of confidence in the banking system and ensure banks continue to support growth.



Why do NPLs matter?

- Increasing NPL levels reflect weak macroeconomic conditions and excess leverage; and they have harmful feedback effects on the overall economy
- Increasing NPL levels could:
 - negatively impact the flow of cross-border lending
 - damage market sentiment of the region as a whole
 - have negative wealth effects
 - lead to a deterioration in macroeconomic conditions
- Macrofinancial impact of NPLs may spill over to other economies, transmitted through various channels
 - Recent experience in Europe demonstrates how negative impact of buildup of distressed assets is not confined to high-NPL economies, but can extend to the region as a whole



Development of NPLs (1997-2018)

Bank Nonperforming Loans (% of gross loans)

Economy	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Central Asia																						
Afghanistan														49.9	4.7	5.0	4.9	7.8	12.1	11.4	12.2	8.9
Armenia		6.0	8.0	17.5	24.4	9.9	5.4	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.4	4.3	4.9	3.0	3.4	3.7	4.5	7.0	8.0	6.7	5.5	4.8
Azerbaijan						28.0	21.5	15.1	9.5	7.2				3.5	4.7	6.0	5.7	4.5	4.4	5.3	13.8	12.2
Kazakhstan						11.9	8.4	4.3	3.3	2.4	2.7	7.1	18.9	20.9	20.7	19.4	19.5	12.4	8.0	6.7	9.3	7.4
Kyrgyz Republic		10.1	30.9	30.9	13.4	13.3	11.2	8.0		6.2	3.6	5.3	8.2	14.8	9.4	6.6	5.1	4.2	6.7	8.5	7.4	7.3
Tajikistan										11.3	4.8	5.4	9.6	7.4	7.2	9.5	13.2	20.4	19.1			
East Asia																						
Korea, Rep. of	5.8	7.4	8.3	8.9	3.4	2.4	2.6	1.9	1.2	0.8	0.7								0.5	0.5	0.4	
Mongolia	19.7	31.0	50.5	21.9	6.7	5.1	4.8	6.4	5.8	4.9	3.3	7.2	17.4	11.5	5.8	4.2	5.3	5.0	7.4	8.5	8.5	10.4
PRC			28.5	22.4	29.8	26.0	20.4	13.2	8.6	7.1	6.2	2.4	1.6	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.8
South Asia																						
Bangladesh		40.7	41.1	34.9	31.5	28.1	22.1	17.5	13.2	12.8	14.5				5.8	9.7	8.6	9.4	8.4	8.9	8.9	9.9
India	14.4	14.7	12.8	11.5	10.4	9.1	7.2	4.9	3.3	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.8	3.2	3.8	4.3	7.5	9.3	11.2	9.1
Maldives																20.9	17.6	17.5	14.1	10.6	10.5	8.9
Pakistan	24.0	23.0	26.0	24.0	23.0	22.0	17.0	12.0	8.3	6.9	7.6	10.5	12.6	14.7	15.7	14.6	13.3	12.3	11.4	10.1	8.4	8.0
Southeast Asia																						
Cambodia	7.2	16.2	14.5	12.4	8.4	14.8	13.9	10.3	7.8	9.9	3.4	3.7	4.8	3.1	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.2	2.0	2.4	2.4	
Indonesia		48.6	32.9	34.4	31.9	24.0	6.8	4.5	7.3	5.9	4.0	3.2	3.3	2.5	2.1	1.8	1.7	2.1	2.4	2.9	2.6	2.3
Malaysia	4.1	18.6	16.6	15.4	17.8	15.9	13.9	11.7	9.4	8.5	6.5	4.8	3.6	3.4	2.7	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.5
Philippines	4.7	12.4	14.6	24.0	27.7	14.6	16.1	14.4	10.0	7.5	5.8	4.6	3.5	3.4	2.6	2.2	2.4	2.0	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.7
Thailand		42.9	38.6	17.7	11.5	16.5	13.5	11.9	9.1	7.8	7.6	5.6	5.2	3.9	2.9	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.7	3.0	3.1	3.1

PRC = People's Republic of China



Note: White cells denote nonperforming ratio less than 5%, yellow between 5% and 10%, and orange higher than 10%. Blank cells mean data is not available.

Source: ADB calculations using data from Bank of Mongolia; and World Bank. World Development Indicators. http://databank.worldbank.org/data/reports.aspx?source=world-development-indicators (accessed January 2020)

Development of NPLs for selected Asian economies



Source: ADB calculations using data from CEIC and Haver Analytics (2020).

Asia's financial system remains bank-based

Limited capital market-based financing solutions such as long-term local currency bond markets

Corporate Financing as % of GDP—EMEAP (excluding high-income Asian economies)



EMEAP (excluding high-income Asian economies) includes the People's Republic of China; Indonesia; the Republic of Korea; Malaysia; the Philippines; and Thailand.

Source: AsianBondsOnline; IMF International Financial Statistics and World Economic Outlook October 2016, and national sources.



Nexus between troubled banking system and distressed debt

- 1. Shocks to the banking system that hamper the sector's functions result in the freezing of the credit channel and slowing down of economic activities. In extreme cases, such episodes may lead to a surge in unemployment rate and poverty incidence
- 2. The Asian financial crisis in 1997/98, global financial crisis in 2008/09, and more recent European sovereign debt crisis are vivid reminders of how **financial distress** can result in real economic downturns
- 3. Banking and financial distress are characterized by an unusual surge in nonperforming assets or NPLs
- 4. Systemic dimension of NPLs—as observed in Europe—calls for efforts at regional level to develop strategies addressing problems of distressed assets/NPLs



Related literature

- Macroeconomic determinants:
 - Roy (2014) investigates the drivers of NPL ratio in India using panel data for 5 bank groups from 1995-2012
 - Ha, Trien, and Diep (2014) analyze the macroeconomic determinants of the NPL ratio in Vietnam using panel data for 8 commercial banks from 2008Q4-2013Q2
- Bank-specific determinants:
 - Hassan, Ilyas, and Rehman (2015) test the importance of bank-specific variables along with social factors (e.g. political interference, management competence) in driving NPLs in **Pakistan's** banking sector
 - Karim, Chan, and Hassan (2010) test the bad management hypothesis proposed by Berger and DeYoung (1997) using data from Malaysian and Singaporean banks
- Macrofinancial feedback effects of NPLs:
 - Klein (2013) focuses on Central, Eastern and Southeastern Europe; Nkusu (2011) covers advanced economies; and Espinoza and Prasad (2010) look at countries in the Cooperation Council for the Arab States of the Gulf



Empirical Analyses

- Determinants of NPLs in Asia: Dynamic Panel Analysis
- Macrofinancial Feedback effects of NPLs in Asia: Panel VAR Analysis



Determinants of NPLs in Asia: Dynamic Panel Analysis



Data

- This paper uses panel data of individual banks' balance sheets from Bankscope and macroeconomic indicators from CEIC
- The sample covers annual data for 1995-2014. Bank-level data consists of 165 commercial banks in 17 economies in Emerging Asia
- The dataset covers more than 60% of the banking sector's assets in most of the economies in the sample

Number of Banks in Sample and Their Share in Commercial Bank Total Assets

Country	Banks (number)	% of Total Assets				
Bangladesh	20	78.32				
Georgia	8	91.13				
Hong Kong, China	3	58.28				
India	14	71.96				
Indonesia	12	71.10				
Japan	13	56.30				
Kazakhstan	8	71.39				
Korea, Republic of	12	72.43				
Kyrgyz Republic	2	43.15				
Malaysia	14	89.66				
Pakistan	9	79.16				
Philippines	5	67.62				
PRC	9	52.42				
Singapore	2	53.83				
Sri Lanka	9	86.97				
Thailand	15	85.70				
Viet Nam	10	63.73				

PRC = People's Republic of China.

Source: Authors' calculations using data from Bankscope database (accessed February 2016).



Data

Bank-level variables

- **NPL ratio** (ratio of impaired loans to gross loans)
- equity-to-assets ratio
- return on equity (ratio of net income to average equity)
- loans-to-deposits ratio (ratio of gross loans to deposits)
- **loans growth rate** (year-onyear growth rate of loans)

Macroeconomic variables

- real gross domestic product growth rate
- unemployment rate
- exchange rate (value of local currency per US dollar; increase indicates depreciation of the local currency)
- inflation rate
- VIX index, capturing financial volatility



Notes: Bank-level data were taken from Bankscope. Macroeconomic variables all taken from CEIC. The VIX is taken from Bloomberg.

Dynamic Panel Data (DPD) Model

• We estimate the following DPD model:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{i,t} &= \rho y_{i,t-1} + \alpha B_{i,t-1} + \beta C_{i,t} + \gamma G_t + \varepsilon_{i,t}, \\ \varepsilon_{i,t} &= u_i + e_{i,t}, \end{aligned}$$

where the dependent variable $y_{i,t}$ denotes the **logit transformation of the NPL** ratio for bank *i* at year *t*

- The regressors: B_{i,t-1}denotes the vector of lagged bank-level variables(earatio, roe, ldratio, Δloans); C_t denotes the vector of country-specific macroeconomic indicators (Δunemprate, inf, exrate, Δgdp); and G_t represents the vector of global variables (vix, dummy_afc) where dummy_afc is an event dummy variable to control for the Asian financial crisis in 1998
- The term ε_{i,t} denotes the composite error term consisting of bank fixed effects, u_i, and the idiosyncratic term, e_{i,t}

Estimation Results (1995-2014)

		Fixed Effects			Difference GMM		System GMM				
npl_{-1}	0.671***	0.689***	0.697***	0.685***	0.708***	0.708***	0.851***	0.804***	0.812***		
Macroeconomic varia	bles										
Δ unemprate	0.131***	0.129***	0.129***	0.125***	0.140***	0.135***	0.104***	0.126***	0.122***		
inf_{-1}	0.006	0.010**	0.010**	0.006	0.009***	0.008***	0.017***	0.019***	0.018***		
exrate	0.00005*	0.000	0.000	0.00002	0.00003**	0.00003**	0.000	0.000	0.000		
$\Delta g dp_{-1}$	-0.015**	-0.017***	-0.017***	-0.014***	-0.015***	-0.015***	-0.008**	-0.011***	-0.011***		
vix	0.008***	0.007***	0.006***	0.006***	0.005***	0.004***	0.006***	0.005***	0.005***		
dummy_afc			0.383***			0.266***			0.306***		
Bank-level variables											
$earatio_{-1}$		-0.004*	-0.005		0.005	0.005		-0.011***	-0.011***		
roe_1		-0.001*	-0.002*		0.002	0.002		-0.001***	-0.0007**		
$ldratio_{-1}$		0.001***	0.001***		0.001*	0.001*		0.001***	0.001***		
$\Delta loans_{-2}$		0.0005***	0.0004***		0.001***	0.001***		0.0006***	0.0006***		
No. of observations	1,996	1,770	1,774	1,831	1,686	1,686	1,996	1,764	1,764		
R^2 (within)	0.534	0.540	0.546								
R^2 (between)	0.801	0.967	0.963								
No. of banks	165	165	165	165	165	165	165	165	165		
No. of instruments				22	81	81	24	96	96		
Hansen test				0.136	0.467	0.467	0.899	0.496	0.496		
A-B AR(1) test				0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
A-B AR(2) test				0.398	0.278	0.278	0.401	0.306	0.306		

GMM = generalized method of moments.

Notes: *** = significant at 1%, ** = significant at 5%, * = significant at 10%. Empirical results have been derived using Stata 13 software.

Source: Author's calculations using data from Bankscope database (accessed February 2016), CEIC database (accessed October 2017), and Bloomberg (accessed May 2016).

Macrofinancial Feedback effects of NPLs in Asia: Panel VAR Analysis



Data

- Panel data of annual macroeconomic and financial indicators covering 32 EAEs from 1994-2014
- Variables:
 - Policy rate
 - Loan growth rate: year-on-year growth rate of loans of overall banking system
 - Unemployment rate
 - GDP growth
 - NPL ratio: the ratio of NPLs to total loans of the economy's overall banking system



Estimating macrofinancial implications of NPLs: Methodology and model

- Model:
 - Panel vector autoregression (PVAR) model
 - Impulse response analysis to estimate feedback effects of rising NPLs
- PVAR model estimated as follows:

$$Y_{i,t} = \Pi_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \Pi_j Y_{i,t-j} + \varepsilon_{i,t},$$

$$\varepsilon_{i,t} = u_i + e_{i,t}$$

- Identification: Choleski Decomposition of $\sum_e \quad \rightarrow \mbox{ recursive ordering }$

 $Y_{i,t}$: vector of endogenous variables

 $\varepsilon_{i,t}$: composite error term consisting of economy fixed effects (u_i) and idiosyncratic errors $(e_{i,t})$ In baseline specification, $Y_{i,t}$ consists of four endogenous variables— $nplr_{i,t}$, $\Delta loans_{i,t}$, $unemp_{i,t}$, and $policyrate_{i,t}$

Buildup of NPLs can affect real sector and spill over through macrofinancial linkages

 Macrofinancial feedback effects: Empirical findings show that an increase in NPLs leads to a reduction in credit supply, a rise in unemployment, and slowdown in overall economic activity

Estimated Impulse Response Functions to a Shock in the NPL Ratio





Orthogonalized Impulse Response Functions, Baseline Model



95% CI

Orthogonalized IRF

CI = confidence interval, GDP = gross domestic product, IRF = impulse response function, NPL = nonperforming loan. Notes: 95% confidence intervals are generated by 5,000 Monte Carlo draws. Empirical results have been derived using Stata 13 software.

Source: Author's calculations using data from Bankscope database (accessed February 2016) and CEIC database (accessed October 2017).

Orthogonalized Impulse Response Functions, Specification 2



CI = confidence interval, GDP = gross domestic product, IRF = impulse response function, NPL = nonperforming loan. Notes: 95% confidence intervals are generated by 5,000 Monte Carlo draws. Empirical results have been derived using Stata 13 software.

Source: Author's calculations using data from Bankscope database (accessed February 2016) and CEIC database (accessed October 2017).

Results and discussion

- Results reveal that both macroeconomic indicators as well as bank-level variables play a key role in explaining the evolution of banks' NPL ratio. This finding appears to be consistent across all model specifications.
- Increasing NPL levels reflect weak macroeconomic conditions and excess; and they have harmful feedback effects on the overall economy.
 - A shock to the NPL ratio decreases GDP growth, credit supply, and policy rate, and it increases unemployment.
- A buildup in nonperforming loans can yield macrofinancial feedback effects, with possible spillover effects in increasingly interconnected financial markets

Macrofinancial impacts of nonperforming loans

Individual Economy



Source: ADB (2017). Asian Economic Integration Report 2017. *The Era of Financial Interconnectedness: How Can Asia Strengthen Financial Resilience?*

Policy Considerations for Asia

- Recent rise in NPLs in some Asian economies calls for close monitoring due to potential macrofinancial feedback effects and implications for region's financial stability
- Policy makers need to swiftly and effectively manage and respond to a buildup of distressed assets
- ✓ National legal, regulatory, and supervisory frameworks and institutional capacities need to be strengthened while efforts to build and develop a market for effectively addressing NPLs and distressed assets in the financial sector should be made complementarily
- Regional cooperation needed to identify and mitigate possible spillovers and contagion from cross-border bank lending and rapid deterioration of bank asset qualities where financial shocks can be spread through financial linkages across borders in the region



Thank you very much! Download:

ADB Economics Working Paper: Nonperforming Loans in Asia: Determinants and Macrofinancial Linkages



