# BUILDING PUBLIC SUPPORT FOR GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES (Part 1)

Case Study from the Republic of Korea



YOUNG UCK KANG
Communication Specialist, ADB

The views expressed in this presentation are the views of the author/s and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank, or its Board of Governors, or the governments they represent. ADB does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this presentation and accepts no responsibility for any consequence of their use. The countries listed in this presentation do not imply any view on ADB's part as to sovereignty or independent status or necessarily conform to ADB's terminology.

## ROK Economic Performances in 60s and 70s

#### Economic Growth:

- Average annual growth rate: 10% during 60s and 70s
- Per Capita Income: from USD \$100 in 1960 to USD \$1,700 in 1979

#### Development Strategy:

- Severely limited natural resources (100% dependency on imported oil)
- Severely limited financial resources with unskilled cheap human capital
- Export-oriented and yet closed economy with protective domestic market
- Implementing 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> 5-year Economic Development Plans

## Major problem with high inflation:

- Chronic double-digit inflation between 1960s and early 1980s
- Ranked 11<sup>th</sup> in the WB World Development Report (1983)

## Why From Light Industries towards Heavy and Chemical Industries

### • Emphasis by the late President Park, Jung Hee

- Changes in geopolitical environment
- Strong concerns for the national security
- Amendment to Constitution in 1972 reinforcing President Park's control

#### Issues with the Industrial Policy of ROK in mid & late 1970s

- Over-investment with policy loans causing a vast amount of idle facilities
- Distortion of Financial Market with negative real interest rates
- Fiscal investments and loans through special funds, and various tax incentives
- Monetary Expansion and the surge of inflation with price control system
- Crisis in balance-of-payments vulnerable to oil price changes
- Second Oil Shock in 1979 under the rigid exchange rate policy

## Korea's Stabilization Policy: A Paradigm Shift

- Stability: away from economic growth to inflation control
  - End of fiscal expansion to reduce budget deficit and public debt
  - Monetary policy focusing on controlling inflation
- Autonomy: away from government-led to market mechanism
  - Reforming financial system and industry
  - Restoring and strengthening market principles and mechanism
  - Reducing government-led industrial policy
  - Income policy and human resource development
- Open Economy: away from closed-economy to open-economy
  - End of protected domestic market with monopoly and oligopoly
  - Consumer goods

## Outcomes of Stabilization Policy from 1983

- Double-digit growth rates
- Inflation: down to 3%
- Budget deficit declined to zero
- Decreased public debt to GDP ratio
- Current account surplus overcoming balance-of-payment crisis

## Adoption & Implementation? A Political Suicide

#### Resistance within government

- Economic Cooperation Bureau within EPB
- Blue House, economic policy advisors, & Prime Minister's Office
- Line Ministries such as the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, the Ministry of Finance, the Ministry of Agriculture and Fishery, etc.
- Political leaders and their parties
- Government think-tank

#### Resistance by various stakeholders

- Lobbying by large business owners & wage workers
- General public, and farmers in particular

#### Initial Supporters

- Opinion leaders & academics
- Media
- Strong sense of economic crisis created policy windows.

## Consensus-building Efforts by the EPB

- Initial Phase of consensus building: 1 full-year with piecemeals approach
  - Skepticism persisted until seeing things with Park's own eyes
  - Persistent oppositions from large & small businesses
- CESP under the economic and political chaos: Incremental approach
  - 2nd Oil Shock in 1979 & world-wide recession
  - Political upheaval leading to assassination of the President
- Full implementation of the Comprehensive Economic Stabilization Policy (CESP) only after the change in political power in 1981

## Initial Consensus-building Efforts by EPB

- Task force to prepare necessary facts, evidences, and arguments
- Started small with specific actors in mind (working level to key decision-makers)
- Study of best practices elsewhere (i.e., Germany and Japan)
- Utilizing informal small-group discussions and networking
- Recognition of the needs for strong public communications plan in late 1981

## Consensus-building Efforts by the President

- Public awareness building efforts began in early 1980
  - Building shared perspectives on the symptoms and structural issues of the Korean Economy
  - Printed slides: 'National Economy (that) We Should Think Together'
- Hidden opportunity:
  - Change in the political power
  - the National Security Council pushing reform agendas (and CESP)
- Public communications through media: The MBC
  - 'Inside Story of Inflation'
  - Documentaries on economic situations of five advanced countries with an economist
- Establishing 'Economic Policy Public Relations Planning Group' under EPB
  - Teaching the teachers
  - Through various channels and venues, including military training boot camps

## Conclusion

- Policy-makers' understanding about macroeconomic policy matters
  - Macroeconomic policies should be used for short-term stabilization only
  - Chronic inflation is not a necessary evil for long-term growth
  - Printing money does not add real resources
- Strengthen institutions that can protect sound macroeconomic policy
  - Reduce the influence by short-sighted political factors
  - Do not borrow from the central bank to compensate budget deficit
  - Conservative practice of government budget based on sound performances
- Communicate with the public
  - Engage early, Explain in details, Maintain transparency, & Use plain language
  - Goal: Build public trust for better governance