Driving Better Results from Public Services in New Zealand:

Issues, Innovations, Prospects

This is not an ADB material. The views expressed in this document are the views of the author/s and/or their organizations and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank, or its Board of Governors, or the governments they represent. ADB does not guarantee the accuracy and/or completeness of the material's contents, and accepts no responsibility for any direct or indirect consequence of their use or reliance, whether wholly or partially. Please feel free to contact the authors directly should you have queries.

Some Context about New Zealand

- Population: 4.89 million
- ▶ OECD Better Life Index: 11th
- TI Corruption Perception Index: 1st=
- International Civil Service Effectiveness Index: 2nd
- Proportional Electoral System
- Central Govt dominant level of government



Impetus for Public Sector Reform

- Unsuccessful previous approaches to results-based management.
- Persistent areas of poor outcomes:
 - Infant mortality above OECD average;
 - Burglary and assaults at least 40% above OECD average;
 - Poor education outcomes for significant minority of school students.
- Global Financial Crisis put public finances under pressure.
- Increasing political and bureaucratic appetite for change.
- Better Public Services Advisory Group Report 2011

Reform Objectives

- Primary focus on effectiveness rather than efficiency.
- Strengthen focus on citizen.
- Manage state agencies as a system rather than as individual agencies.
- Improve incentives to capture economies of scale and scope.
- Incentivise continuous improvement in state agencies.

Main Elements of Public Sector Reform

- Results-based management to improve community outcomes.
- Functional leadership to set common standards, drive good practice.
- Strengthen use of data to improve service delivery and outcomes.
- Agency-focussed performance improvement initiatives.

Results Management - Design Principles

- Results important to the government and community.
- Ministers and public servants to work in partnership.
- Limited number of results to create focus.
- Government to be able to influence results.
- Groups of ministers and agencies to drive results delivery.
- Results to be able to be easily communicated.
- Progress to be regularly reported to the Cabinet and public.

Choice of Results

- Extensive Cabinet involvement and discussion.
- Decision to select 10 results across five portfolio areas:
 - Reducing long-term welfare dependence;
 - Boosting skills and employment;
 - Reducing crime;
 - Supporting vulnerable children;
 - Improving interaction with government.
- Each result had one or more "targets" indicators of progress.
- Ambition levels varied across results but overall very ambitious.
- ▶ Lead Minister and lead Chief Executive assigned to each result.

Example of Result and Target

- Result 10:
- NZers can complete their transactions with the Government easily in a digital environment.
- Target for Result 10:
- By 2017, an average of 70 per cent of New Zealanders' most common transactions with government will be completed in a digital environment - up from 24 per cent currently.

Making it Happen

- Result Action Plans (RAPs) were delivered for each result.
- They included:
 - Leadership and governance;
 - Strategy to improve performance;
 - Key actions and responsible agencies to improve performance;
 - Resourcing;
 - Performance indicators.
- Ministers and officials regularly reviewed progress and need for further action.
- Progress assessed at national level and also regional level where necessary.

Innovation Examples

- Empowering staff in regional areas to develop new partnerships with community and business.
- Connecting administrative data sets to understand and reach target groups.
- Piloting different place-based delivery models to support vulnerable children "Children's Teams".
- Agencies putting aside a portion of their budgets to create start-up funding for new solutions to collective problems.
- Redesigning interface with government around critical life events (e.g. birth of a child).

Accountability for Performance

- Six-monthly public reporting important accountability requirement.
- Results that mattered to New Zealand provided strong motivation for officials.
- Chief executive performance assessment evolved from individual to collective responsibility for outcomes.

Problems that Emerged

- Strong incentives for ministers and officials not to act in collective interest.
- Some results and targets poorly specified.
- Leaders struggled to lead through influence rather than "command".
- Reconciling agency and collective reform agendas.
- Variable effectiveness of agency clusters.

Refreshing Results and Targets

- Refreshing targets important to reduce risks of results-based management.
- Partial refresh of some targets agreed in November 2014.
- Full refresh of results and targets published in March 2017.
- Continued with a small set of Results (10).
- Results near achievement moved out remained as performance measures for relevant agencies.
- New results added to address new challenges (e.g. access to housing).
- Some targets were no longer driving the best actions and needed to be reset.
- More time needed to achieve results with longer-term targets.

What Progress was Made?

- Progress was made across all results three results achieved by 2014.
- Examples of progress made:
 - Numbers of infants not receiving vaccinations fell by two-thirds;
 - Numbers of children not enrolled in early childhood education fell by 50%;
 - Business effort in dealing with public sector remained higher than private sector but gap almost halved.
- Not all results were achieved criminal reoffending rate fell 4.4%, not 25% target.

Key learnings from Results Approach

- Results focus was about culture change.
- Technical aspects of design and implementation were critical.
- Created urgency and accelerated learning.
- Requires strong partnership between Ministers and officials.
- Ability to innovate was key to success.
- Success supported by wider public sector reform agenda.
- Transparent reporting important for accountability.
- Refresh regularly to maintain momentum and to correct errors.

Functional Leadership

- System-wide leadership assigned for ICT, procurement and property.
- Leadership assigned to agencies with existing expertise in each function.
- Professional leadership models also established (e.g. legal, finance, policy).
- Common objectives for functional leaders:
 - Setting common standards across government agencies;
 - Making efficiency gains through economies of scale;
 - Strengthening function capability across state agencies;
 - Raising performance through innovation and sharing best practice.

ICT Functional Leadership

- Leadership assigned to Government Chief Digital Officer (GCDO).
- Responsibilities included:
 - Setting policy, direction and standards for government ICT;
 - Improving system-wide ICT investment management;
 - Establishing and managing ICT investment management system-wide;
 - Shaping and developing ICT capability;
 - Providing ICT assurance across government.
- Examples of initiatives have included:
 - Moving ICT to "as a service" and "government as a single customer".
 - Accelerating the adoption of public cloud services.
 - Developing digital skills in government.

ICT Functional Leadership - Results

- Example of results achieved to date include:
 - 170 agencies using at least one shared capability;
 - 148 agencies using software agreement with Microsoft and Oracle;
 - Programme where graduates develop digital skills across agencies;
 - ▶ 2017 NZ\$107 million annual savings achieved (NZ\$100 million target).

Using Data to Improve Outcomes

- Historically, data held by individual agencies to support their services.
- Datasets were not consistently made available to the public.
- During reform datasets made available routinely to public and researchers.
- Series of data innovations connecting datasets to support better outcomes:
 - Statistics NZ created the Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI), research database containing microdata from agencies and NGOs containing more than 1.66bn facts.
 - Undertaking regular actuarial valuations of working age benefits.
 - Social investment applying evidence-based investment practices to social services.
 - Social Investment Agency centre of expertise to support agencies in using data and improving commissioning of social services

Performance Improvement

- Concern about lack of widespread continuous improvement.
- Performance Improvement Framework reviews introduced in 2008:
 - Built on UK Capability Reviews of 2000s;
 - External, future-focussed assessment of agency preparedness for future;
 - Provide frank and constructive support to agency leadership response;
 - Reviews published and good practice disseminated across agencies.
- Continuous Improvement (CI) centre of expertise established:
 - Group of CI "coaches" work with agencies to redesign service delivery;
 - Focus is improving citizen experience (e.g. airport departures, security vetting).

Trust in Public Services

- New Zealanders' satisfaction with public services surveyed since 2007.
- Trust has risen and dissatisfaction has fallen significantly.
- Trust in public sector brand higher than in private sector.

Year	2007	2017
Trust based on personal experience	67%	79%
Trust in public sector brand	29%	47%

Key learnings

- Reform needs to be sustained over time (5+ years);
- Consistent political support important to success;
- Agency leadership needs to support reform and be accountable for delivery;
- "Hard" (e.g. performance assessment) and "Soft" (e.g. culture) levers of change need to support reform direction;
- Reform more successful if it aligns with aspects of existing culture (e.g. making difference to community);
- Implementation needs to take account of change management capacity and capability.
- Programme needs continuous evaluation and frequent adaptation in light of experience.

Reform Prospects

- Solid fiscal outlook reduces incentives for further reform short-term.
- Most reform appears to have endured across 2017 change of government.
- Change of government changed focus and form of outcome management.
- Government consulting on legislative change to broaden toolkit around interagency "joint ventures".
- Unresolved debate around extent of devolution of public sector decision making and service delivery at regional level.
- Reform of budget system to support results-based management ("wellbeing" budget) underway.