ADB Knowledge Sharing Program on ICT in Education (11 November, 2016)

Shaping the Future of Education with Technology - Comparison of Korea and Singapore for ICT in Education

This is not an ADB material. The views expressed in this document are the views of the author/s and/or their organizations and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank, or its Board of Governors, or the governments they represent. ADB does not guarantee the accuracy and/or completeness of the material's contents, and accepts no responsibility for any direct or indirect consequence of their use or reliance, whether wholly or partially. Please feel free to contact the authors directly should you have queries.

Dr. Hyo-Jeong So Department of Educational Technology Ewha Womans University, Korea hyojeongso@ewha.ac.kr



- The Future of Education
 - Theoretical perspectives
- Designing Future Schools
- Future Education Initiatives in Korea & Singapore
 - Policy plan and strategies
- Grand Challenges in Future Education
- Questions & Discussions

Artificial Intelligence



Future Education & Technology



http://www.nmc.org/nmc-horizon/



http://www.open.ac.uk/blogs/innovating/

Predicting the Adoption Horizon

NMC Horizon Report 2016 http://www.nmc.org/publication-type/horizon-report/

K-12

1 year or less	2-3 years	4-5 years
Makerspaces	VR	Artificial Intelligence
Online Learning	Robotics	Wearable Technology

III: -h F dtio	1 year or less	2-3 years	4-5 years
Higher Education	BYOD	AR/VR	Affective Computing
	Learning Analytics	Makerspaces	Robotics



What is the image of the school that you want?

Do you think schools would exist after 50 years later?









Orestad College in Denmark



School of the Future (SoF) in Philadelphia, US



School of Science & Technology in Singapore



What are the commonalities in these schools?



"Paradigm Shift"

Future schools necessitate more than fancy buildings and sophisticated technologies.

We need to revisit our assumptions about

What is learning What are the roles of schools, teachers, and students

1. The Future of Education

Theoretical Perspectives

The "Paradox" of Future Schools

What is "Future" in Future Schools?

• Tensions in two types of discourse

"Surface Resemblance"

"Utopian Thinking"



The "Paradox" of Future Schools

- High resemblance between the present and the future
 - "it (futuristic education) deals in surface resemblances: Imagine what the future will look like on the surface; then make education mimic that surface" (Bereiter, 2002, p.224).
- Utopian Thinking
 - When our discourse of future schools becomes too idealistic



Surface-level change

Facets of Future Schools: Historical Legacy and Disposition

- The classroom of the present is a "genealogical" object that reflects its historical predecessors.
- Comparison of the Three Eras of Education (Collins & Halverson, 2009)

	1 st era: Apprenticeship	2 nd era: Universal Schooling	3 rd era: Lifelong Learning
Responsibility	Parents	The Sate	Individuals and Parents
Expectations	Social reproduction	Success for all	Individual choice
Content	Practical skills	Disciplinary knowledge	Learning how to learn
Pedagogy	Apprenticeship	Didacticism	Interaction

The Big Shift



Designing Future Schools

Designing Future Schools



Architectural Design

 "even the best technological or pedagogical ideas cannot be used to their full effect if they are not architecturally integrated into the classroom" (Schratzenstaller,2010, p.35).

 "High relationships" between architectural (spatial) design and types of discourse/interaction patterns

ECHROLOGY ESPANCED LEARNISE

Classroom of the Future

Orchestrating Collaborative Spaces

Kati Mäkitalo-Siegl, Jan Zottmann, Frederic Kaplan and Frank Fischer (Eds.)







Technological Design

- Arrangement and utilization of technological tools and artifacts, both physical and virtual
- Problems of technology integration in schools
 - Inherent "incompatibility" between schools and technology (Collins & Halverson, 2009)
 - A culture of teaching: "stability"
 - Technology integration often requires dramatic changes to the stable structure

Technology in Future Education

2013 Horizon Report - K-12 Edition (Johnson et al., 2013)

Time-to-Adoption Horizon

2 to 3 Years

Cloud Computing Mobile Learning Den Contents

1 Year or Less

3D Printing Virtual & Remote Laboratories

4 to 5 Years

From Add-on to Essential

Add-on Linear



Essential Multiple Patterns of Interaction





- Planning and enactment of teaching and learning practices
- Teacher' role in future schools
 - Should be more than a facilitator
 - Skillful at "orchestration" (Dillenbourg & Jermann, 2010)
 - Coordinate complex forms of learning activities & diverse arrangement of physical and virtual tools
- Students' role in future schools
 - Growing importance of informal learning & Peer learning culture

Putting the P3 Science Curriculum Together





SMART Education Initiative in Korea

Designing Future Education

ICT Infrastructure in Korea



Positive Indicators

- Korean students demonstrated high levels of academic achievement in international comparison assessment (e.g., PISA, TIMSS)
 - PISA DRA (Digital Reading Assessment) average score in 2009 Korea 588, OECD: 499
- Strong ICT Infrastructure
 - Ratio of students per personal computer in 2010 Elementary 6:1, Middle 5:1, High 6:1
 - Ratio of PC per teacher 1.3:1
- Students' high ICT literacy level

Yet, Problems in the Context of 21st Learning

- Low levels of motivation for learning
- Exam-oriented practices in school
- Lack of students' creativity and self-directed learning
- Lack of customizable learning systems

Paradigm Shift in Education

Two paradigms of education (Zhao, 2012)

Employeeoriented *Paradigm*

Transmission of knowledge Mass Education Standard curriculum for employment



Emphasis on individuals' creativity and talents

Why Korea Needs Paradigm Shift in Education



Employee-oriented Education Paradigm

Need to simply follow established models and existing innovations

Entrepreneur-oriented Education Paradigm

Need to find problem spaces and to create innovations

SMART Education: Designing Future Classrooms

Vision

"Fostering next generation global leaders who are equipped with creativity and wellrounded character"



Definition

Framework



Paradigm Shift in Educational Content. Method, Assessment & Environment

Digital Textbook



Image source: http://www.etnews.com/news/etc/1942709_1624.html

First Smart School in Korea

Charmsaem Elementary School in Sejong City http://www.charmsaem.es.kr/wise/



K-MOOCs



MOOCs in Japan & China



Future School Initiative in Singapore

Big Picture: Systemic Change

Macro- level	 Ministries, Policy makers Strategic planning for sustainability and scalability
Meso- level	 Research Institutions, IT companies, NGOs Recontextualization of pedagogical discourse
Micro-	 School Administrators, Teachers, Students, Parents
level	• Construction of classroom-based interaction

ICT Policy: Strategic & Systematic Movement

Masterplan 3 (2009-2014): Pushing the Frontiers

- 21st Century Learning Skills: Collaborative Learning & Self-directed Learning
- Learning in and out of school

Masterplan 2 (2003-2008): Consolidating the Insights

- Effective & pervasive use of ICT for "Engaged Learning"
- Encouraging higher-levels of ICT integration

Masterplan I (1997-2002): Getting the Fundamentals Right

- Provision of basic ICT infrastructure in schools
- Equipping teachers with basic ICT competency

Masterplan for ICT in Education (MP4) – 2015-2020



Vision

Future-ready & Responsible Digital Learners

Outcome Goal



Quality Learning in the Hands of Every Learner-Empowered with Technology

Enablers

Teachers as Designers of Learning Experiences & Environments



Implementation focuses on





quality online

resources

NIP







Integrating ICT into curriculum & assessment

Developing Cyber Wellness & New Media Literacies Providing professional development & facilitating learning communities Innovating & developing good practices for ICT in education Providing infrastructure to support anytime, anywhere learning

Systematic Transformation of Schools with Technology



Tiered Approach for Scaling and Sustaining Innovative Practices in Schools (from Koh & Lee, 2008, p.87)

FutureSchools@Singapore

- Since 2008, eight schools have been selected as Future Schools
- Each future school(FS) is funded through the Interactive Digital Media (IDM) in education program by the National Research Foundation to conduct "school-based research and development"
 - Partnership
 - Ownership
 - Capacity building
- Current status: Transitory period
 - "Seeding Ideas" to "Spreading innovations"

EduLab: Spreading Innovations

- Recent initiative to address the issue of scalability and sustainability by building strong teacher professional learning communities (PLC) through close school partnerships
- "Living lab"
 - Space where teachers can experiment with new technologies and pedagogical ideas prior to implementing in classrooms
- "Co-evolutionary Approach"
 - Partnering future schools with other schools that lag behind in its ICT integration
- "Empowering Teachers"
 - EduLab at the Academy of Singapore Teachers (Edulab@AST): An institution solely dedicated to train in-service teachers



http://iresearch.edumall.sg/iresearch/slot/u110/edulab/index.swf

Professional Learning Communities (PLC)

- "Empowering Teachers"
 - An institution solely dedicated to train in-service teachers



Image source: <u>http://www.academyofsingaporeteachers.moe.gov.sg/</u>



- Explicit effort to address the issue of scalability and sustainability
- Emphasis on empowering teachers through teacher communities
- Synergistic effect from the close partnership across multiple actors (e.g., MOE, higher education researchers, industry, etc.)

Singapore – Smart Nation

Smart Nation Vision For Singapore

December 4, 2014



Singapore must take full advantage of its extensive and systematic use of technology, particularly IT, says PM Lee.

Prime Minister Lee Hsien Loong has officially unveiled a wide range of collaborative government initiatives to transform Singapore into a Smart Nation.

A Smart Nation Programme Office to be set up within the Prime Minister's Office aims to bring citizens, the government and industry players together to identify issues, co-develop solutions, prototype ideas and deploy them effectively. Dr Vivian Balakrishnan, the Minister for Environment and Water Resources, will oversee this unit.



Singapore aims to nurture robotics skills among

young

Singapore aims to nurture robotics skills among young



Young minds in Singapore will have more opportunities to pick up robotics skills through a series of training courses, designed especially to help mentor students in this emerging field.

To be provided through the new Robotics & Maker Academy (RMA) initiative, these activities will revolve around coding and robotics and are expected to cover some 10,000 students in 30 primary and secondary schools.

The Infocomm Development Authority of Singapore (IDA) and Singapore Polytechnic (SP) will co-fund the RMA with a total of S\$2.8 million, which will span over three years through to june 2017.



IT talent in short supply amid Smart Nation push

Recommended by Outbrain

54

Change in MOE's Computing Curriculum



Subject called **Computing Studies**

Subject called **Computing**

Future Education: Grand Challenges

Grand Challenge #1: Technology vs. Pedagogy Driven

Often times, our thinking about future schools is technologydriven, with a hope that technology will revolutionize teaching and learning.

• Tight coupling of technological and pedagogical design

Grand Challenge #2: Evidence of School Transformation

- "Transformation, No Evolution" (Pea, 2011)
 - Action plan for *revolutionary transformation rather than evolutionary tinkering*.
- Critical need to develop a holistic way to trace and evaluate the transforming process of schools at the meta-level.
- Lessons learned and common challenges need to be clearly articulated and shared to inform the rest of schools on the similar trajectory.



- High relationship between the spatial design and human interaction/discourse.
- Yet most schools use existing building structures and facilities without much modification.



- The ways of assessing learning in schools remains mostly unchanged at the macro level.
- The transformation of assessment is often beyond schools' control.



How to turn the classroom of the future

to the classroom of the present?



Toward Designing Knowledge Creation Spaces

- Moving beyond surface-level changes
- Changing Metaphor of Future Schools
 - Past: Knowledge as a container
 - Schools as "Knowledge Creation Spaces"
 - Architectural, technological, & pedagogical design that support students to work with knowledge or conceptual artefacts
- Schools as Knowledge Creation Spaces
 - Not necessarily about fancy buildings and sophisticated technologies
 - Clear articulation about educational goals
 - Gradual transformation by design experiment



- Bereiter, C. (2002). *Education and mind in the knowledge age*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Collins, A., & Halverson, R. (2009). *Rethinking education in the age of technology: The digital revolution and schooling in America.* New York: Teachers College Press.
- Johnson, L., Adams Becker, S., Cummins, M., Estrada, V, Freeman, A., & Ludgate, H.(2013). NMC Horizon Report: 2013 K-12 Edition. Austin, Texas: The New Media Consortium.
- Koh, T. S. & Lee, S. C. (Eds) (2008). *Information communication technology in education: Singapore's ICT Masterplans 1997-2008*. Singapore: World Scientific.
- Schratzenstaller, A. (2010). The classroom of the past. In K. Mäkitalo-Siegl, J. Zottmann, F. Kaplan, & F. Fischer (Eds.), *Classroom of the future: Orchestrating collaborative spaces* (pp. 15-39). Rotterdam, the Netherlands: Sense Publishers.

Thank You

Questions & Discussions