

Innovation in Skill Development in Secondary Education: Issues and Constraints

ADB OECD LEED Pre-Forum Workshop: Does Asia Need a Unique Model for Skills Development?

10 December 2012

Manila, The Philippines

Norman LaRocque
Senior Education Specialist
Asian Development Bank
nlarocque@adb.org

The views expressed in this presentation are the views of the author/s and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank, or its Board of Governors, or the governments they represent. ADB does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this presentation and accepts no responsibility for any consequence of their use. The countries listed in this presentation do not imply any view on ADB's part as to sovereignty or independent status or necessarily conform to ADB's terminology.



Context

- Skills development increasingly seen as key contributor to economic growth – impact on innovation and productivity
- Increasing and changing demand for skills in Asia:
 - New industries/FDI
 - New technologies
 - Changing nature of work
 - Government strategy/policy
 - Rapid economic growth
- Increasing diversification of TVET supply:
 - Secondary schools
 - Apprenticeships
 - Institutes of technology
 - Private sector
 - Fee-paying public institutes
 - Franchises

TVET Challenges

- Poor perception of TVET
- Access disparities and gender-based segregation
- High cost of TVET delivery
- Weak link between formal and informal TVET
- Outdated regulatory and funding frameworks
- Lack of practical focus
- Poor quality/relevance of training
- Low private and public sector investment in TVET
- TVET oversight fragmentation





TVET in Secondary Schools

- Around 100 years old in developed economies
- 1950s/1960s – perceived need for developing countries to expand investments in TVET
- General vs. vocational streams in secondary schools – latter often seen as ‘dead end’
- Later efforts sought to combine general and vocational – diversified secondary education
- Recent trends – differences across regions, reduced TVET enrolments in secondary schools, TVET taught at upper secondary
- Focus of ADB more on strengthening TVET in post-secondary institutions, though some exceptions

TVET Enrolments as a % of Total Secondary Education Enrolments, Selected ADB DMCs, 2009

<u>Country</u>	<u>Proportion</u> (%)	<u>Country</u>	<u>Proportion</u> (%)
Kazakhstan	25	Lao PDR	1
Kyrgyz Republic	14	Malaysia	16
Mongolia	26	Viet Nam	17
Tajikistan	10	Bangladesh	8
Uzbekistan	88	India	2
Cambodia	8	Nepal	2
China	44	Pakistan	10
Fiji	11	World	24
Indonesia	38		

Source: Global Education Digest 2011, UNESCO, p. 144-154.

Change in TVET Upper Secondary Enrolments as a Proportion of Total Upper Secondary Education Enrolments, by Region, 1999-2009

Region	Year		Change
	1999	2009	
Arab States	34	20	-14
Central and Eastern Europe	50	47	-3
East Asia and Pacific	43	38	-5
Latin America and Caribbean	24	21	-3
North America and Western Europe	31	26	-5
South and West Asia	4	4	-
Sub-Saharan Africa	9	16	+7
World	28	24	-4

Source: Global Education Digest 2011, UNESCO, p. 53.



Innovation

- No silver bullet to address issues of quality and relevance in TVET – what works in one country or one context may not work in another
- My view – essential ingredient to increasing responsiveness and relevance is to increase TVET-industry linkages
- Many types of linkages – skills strategy preparation, determination of TVET funding allocations, development of TVET programs and curricula, apprenticeships/on the job training, direct provision, QA/certification
- Broad policy design in line with advice from ILO/recent McKinsey report on education to employment

Innovation

- ILO argues that improved TVET-industry coordination can help address mismatch of skills:
 - Information on changing technologies, equipment used in the workplace, changes in the demand for skills, training relevance
 - Opportunities for internships or apprenticeships
 - Mechanism for systematic feedback to providers
- McKinsey report notes 2 common elements to that innovative and effective programs :
 - Close TVET-industry collaboration – eg. curriculum/program design, industry faculty for providers
 - Employers and providers work with their students early and intensely – eg. identifying future employees
- Examples: Career Academies (USA), K to 12 reform (Philippines)

Classifying PPPs in TVET

Industry Linkages



- Curriculum/program development
- Student/job placement
- Apprenticeships
- Staff exchanges
- Training of TVET instructors
- Applied research and consultancies
- Private representation on governing/advisory boards
- Centers of Excellence
- Private provision of equipment, land, staff, curriculum, etc
- Philanthropy

Infrastructure PPPs



- Private finance, design, construction and operation of teaching facilities and workshops
- Equipping and maintenance of workshops

Demand-side Financing



- Scholarships/training vouchers
- Incentives for private providers: free land, soft loans, subsidies, tax/ customs duty exemptions
- Introduce competitive contracting of course/ program delivery to private TVET institutes
- Private management of public TVET institutes

Support Services



- Private involvement in TVET strategy development - eg. national training agencies
- Private quality assurance systems
- Standard setting
- Skill certification
- Private information and testing services



Constraints

- What is policy objective – increased relevance? If so, can policy achieve its objective in DMCs?
- Overall government fiscal constraints – can countries afford to double-track TVET?
- Education and training sector governance – fragmented responsibility within TVET and between TVET/other subsectors
- Articulation between non-formal and formal education sectors – eg. lower secondary-upper secondary transition/drop-outs
- TVET perceptions
- Missing the market?

Constraints (Cont'd)

- Lack of National Qualifications Framework
- Regulatory framework not generally conducive to innovation/contracting:
 - Rigid employment conditions
 - Pay and benefits – core government sector
 - Requirement for registered teachers
- Funding framework represents constraint:
 - Low level of government funding to secondary schools in DMCs
 - Large capital investment required to outfit schools
 - Supply-side nature of funding systems
 - % of funding spent on teacher salaries – low operational and maintenance budgets

Constraints (Cont'd)

- Education vs. training philosophy – time-based vs. competency based, theory vs. practice, registered teachers vs. craftspeople
- Management/entrepreneurial capacity of secondary school leaders – business linkages, innovation, contracting
- Regulatory + funding constraints = limited ability to deliver job relevant TVET education in secondary schools:
 - Ability to attract suitable teachers:
 - Theoretical and practical skills
 - Flexible employment conditions
 - Competition with private sector for teachers
 - Capital investment requirements – equipment, facilities
 - Lack of funds/mindset to operate/maintain equipment /facilities
 - Modern curriculum

Conclusion

- TVET becoming a more important issue for governments in most ADB DMCs
- Need to address skill shortages and improve environment for skills development in DMCs
- Use of secondary education sector in TVET delivery requires careful thought – policy objective, appropriate mechanisms, etc
- Significant implications:
 - Regulatory and funding policy change – employment, financing
 - Mindset change – training vs. education, practical, operational
 - Capacity building – contracting, management, etc